EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0329-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102184355

CASE NO.: 32863

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Huntington

ORDER TYPE:					
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: City of Huntington WWTP, located approximately one mile southeast of the intersection of U.S. Highway 69 and Farm-to-Market Road 1669 between the Southern Pacific Railroad and Shawnee Creek, Angelina County TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treatment facility SMALL BUSINESS: YesX_No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.					
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.					
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on July 1, 2007. No comments were received.					
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Melissa Keller, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team III, MC 149, (512) 239-5363; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896 Respondent: Mr. Robert Walker, City Manager, City of Huntington, Post Office Box 349, Huntington, Texas 75949 Mr. Jack Carter, Deputy Administrator, City of Huntington, Post Office box 349, Huntington, Texas 75949 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter					

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Huntington **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0329-MWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED was a Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$3,960 **Corrective Actions Taken:** Complaint 1) The Executive Director recognizes that Routine **Total Deferred: \$792** Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement the City replaced the original fixed aeration system with a retrievable system X Records Review that provided increased capacity and Financial Inability to Pay improved maintenance on December 13, Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this 2006 and returned to compliance with Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$3,168 their permitted effluent limits on January Date of Record Review Relating to this Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$0 31, 2007. Case: February 7, 2007 **Ordering Provisions:** Site Compliance History Classification Date of NOE Relating to this Case: __ High X Average Poor February 27, 2007 (NOE) 2) The Order will require the Respondent **Person Compliance History Classification** to implement and complete a Background Facts: This was a routine High X Average Poor Supplemental Environmental Project record review. (SEP) (See SEP Attachment A). Major Source: Yes X No WATER Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 Failure to comply with the permitted effluent limits [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. WQ0010191001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1, and Tex.

WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].

Attachment A Docket Number: 2007-0329-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:

City of Huntington

Payable Penalty Amount:

Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168)

SEP Amount:

Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168)

Type of SEP:

Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient:

Angelina Beautiful Clean

Location of SEP:

Angelina County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to collect and properly dispose of illegally dumped waste. Eligible sites will be limited to areas where a responsible party cannot be identified and where there is no pre-existing obligation to clean up the site by the owner.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing for the proper disposal of waste which will help prevent human health concerns associated with illegally dumped waste.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Valena Spradley Chairman of the Board Angelina Beautiful Clean 1615 South Chestnut Lufkin, Texas 75901

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Financial Administration Division, Revenues Attention: Cashier, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

City of Huntington Agreed Order – Attachment A

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

•

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007 Assigned 5-Mar-2007 PCW 7-Mar-2007 Screening 6-Mar-2007 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Huntington Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102184355 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 32863 No. of Violations 1 Docket No. 2007-0329-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short EC's Team EnforcementTeam 4 Multi-Media \$10,000 Maximum Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section \$3,000 **TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage \$1,860 Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Compliance History 62% Enhancement A 62% enhancement is recommended for having 12 NOVs for selfreported effluent data and one NOV for non-similar violations within the last five years. \$0 Νo 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 Culpability The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$750 Subtotal 5 25% Good Faith Effort to Comply Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinan N/A The Respondent returned to compliance on January 31, 2007. Notes Subtotal 6 \$0 0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$92 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000 \$4,110 Final Subtotal SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 -\$150 -4% Adjustment OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only Recommended reduction in the penalty so that monthly self reported Notes effluent violations do not overly-impact the penalty amount. \$3,960 Final Penalty Amount Final Assessed Penalty \$3,960 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT -\$792 Reduction Adjustment 20% Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% red

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$3,168

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Docket No. 2007-0329-MWD-E

Screening Date 6-Mar-2007

Respondent City of Huntington

Case ID No. 32863

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102184355

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Adjust.

Compliance History Worksheet >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component Number of...

	Other written NOVs			
		1	2%	ĺ
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	.0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0 Heat	0%	
	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria).	0	0%	· .
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	1 152 ⁷
		0	0%	
missions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0 / 1	0%	
	Pleas	e Enter Yes or No		1
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 2)	62%
olator (Su	btotal 3)			
No	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	ibtotal 3)	0%
ce History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average Pe	orformer Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	ibtotal 7)	0%
ce History	Summary			
listory	A 62% enhancement is recommended for having 12 NOVs for self-reported effluent data and non-similar violations within the last five years.	d one NOV for		
ı	Total Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotals 2	2, 3, & 7)	62%
	olator (Sul	of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission. Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting oriteria). Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government. Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Pleas Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements Adjustment Product (Subtotal 3) No Adjustment Product (Subtotal 3) Adjustment Production Summary Maliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7) Average Performer A 52% enhancement is recommended for having 12 NOVs for self-reported effluent data and non-similar violations within the last five years.	of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Obronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Please Enter Yes or No Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements Adjustment Percentage (Subotal 3) No Adjustment Percentage (Subotal 7) Average Performer Adjustment Percentage (Subotal 7) Adjustment Percentage (Subotal 7) Adjustment Percentage (Subotal 7)	of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory omergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting underteral). Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government undertenance of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Only only orininal convictions of this state or the federal government on the federa

Respondent City of Hurtington Case ID No. 39853 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN1021 84395 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Violation Number Rule Cite(a) Tex. Water Code § 26.12f (a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.126f (i) and TPDES Permit No. WCO010191001, Effluent Linitators and Monitoring Requirement No.1 Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007. See attached fable. Base Penalty S10,000 **Environmental. Property and Human Health: Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Failed for comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007. See attached fable. **Fregrammatic Matrix Facilities and Major Moderate Minor Percent A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Dolly average flow, composed to insignificant amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or environmental exceeded such as a seal of the violation. **Adjustment** **Solice (TSS) values were also considered. As a result of these duringles, Planta health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants as a result of the violation days **Solice (TSS) values were the abort considered. As a result of the violation days **Solice (TSS) values were the metaltor environmental exceeded such as a seal of the violation. **Three quarterly events are recommended. **Three quarterly events are recommended. **Three quarterly events are recommended. **Three politics of limits (EB) for this violation. **Statutory Limit Test Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation. **Statutory Limit Test **Estimated EB Amount** Three politics of limits and the politics of limits	PCW	Docket No. 2007-0329-MWD-E		ening Date		
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102184355 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short Violation Number Rule Cite[e] Tex. Water Code § 28.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.122(t) and TPDES Permit. No. WQ00010191901, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1 Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a resord review conducted on February 1, 2007. See attached lable. **Programmatic Matrix Percent 10% ***Programmatic Matrix **Percent 10% A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH-2-") to determine whether the destranged ammonis of pollutanis account on produce of himmon benefits	vision 2 (September 2002)	Policy Re				
Media (Statute) Water Coulity Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short Violation Number Rule Cite(e) Tox. Water Code § 28.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.128(1) and TPDES Permit No. Wc00010191001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1 Violation Description Falled to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007. See attached fable. **Service Continuents** **Release Major Moderate Minor Actus Potentia Protection Major Moderate Minor Actus Potentia Protection Major Moderate Minor **Percent 1054 **Programmatic Matrix Matrix Notes **A simplified model was used to evaluate ammoeta nitrogen (**NE-N**) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the onvironment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (**CBOD**), and Total Suspended Solids (**STS*) values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or anvironmental recipios as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** **Adjustment** **Number of Violation Events** **Statutory Limit Test** **Violation Base Penalty** **Statutory Limit Test** **Three quarterly events are recommended.** **Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation.** **Statutory Limit Test** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **Statutory Limit Test** **Statutory Limit Test** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **Statutory Limit Test** **Three quarteria	Revision January 9, 2007	PCW		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	STUDY OF THE ST	ъ.
Ent. Coordinator Samuel Short Violation Number Rule Cite[s] Rule Cite[s] Rule Cite[s] Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.128(1) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010191001, Effluent Limitations and Menitoring Requirement No.1 Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007. See attached duble. Statute of the complex of the comple						Reg.
Violation Number Tex. Water Code § 26:121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 30:5.128(1) and TPDES Permit No. WG0010191001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1 Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007. See attached table.						
No. WQ0010191001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1	,		1	agayania, toracashi badaqoong	2 - 17 - 20 Carlotte (18 Carlot	
Statutory Limit Test				Rule Cite(s)		
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Release Major Moderate Minor				n Description	Violatio	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Release	\$10,000	Base Penalty				
OR Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Percent 10% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate ammorila nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$9,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 181 Number of violation days daily monthly worth an x semiannual annual single event Three quarterty x semiannual single event Three quarterty events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960			J Umaaa Uaalth Ma	l Danadira		.
Percent 10% Percent 10% Percent 10%		laurix		i, Property a	ınmentai	>> Enviro
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous blochemical oxygen demand ("CBCD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$9,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3. 181 Number of violation days daily mark only one with an x semiannual annual annual single event. Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960			Major Moderate	r		OP.
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBDD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$9,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly x with an x are protective of human health or environmental receptors are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960				1		OK
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBDD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$9,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly x with an x are protective of human health or environmental receptors are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960				BA_4		
A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** **S9,000** **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events** **Number of Violation Events** **Violation Base Penalty** **Si,000** **Three quarterly events are recommended.** Three quarterly events are recommended.** **Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation** **Estimated EB Amount** **S3,960** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Simulation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Simulation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Provious Penalty** **Three quarterly events are recommended.** **Estimated EB Amount** **Simulation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **S3,960** **Provious Penalty** **Provious Penalty** **Provious Penalty** **Three quarterly events are recommended.** **Estimated EB Amount** **Simulation Final Penalty** **Simulat		e Minor	Major Moderate		mmatic	>>Progra
discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD"), and Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$9,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960		Percent 0%				
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 181 Number of violation days daily monthly x semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$3,000		ceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD"), and Total Suspended isidered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the ignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that	mounts of pollutants exc ge flow, carbonaceous bid ") values were also cons as been exposed to insig	discharged Daily avera Solids ("TS: environment l		
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adaily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$1,000 \$1,000 Violation days Violation Base Penalty \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960				1		
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960	\$1,000	Adjustitute \$5,000				
Number of Violation Events daily	\$1,000					
daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$3,000					Events	Violation
mark only one with an x monthly quarterly x x \$3,000 Three quarterly events are recommended. \$3,000 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$1,000 Estimated EB Amount \$92 \$1,000 Violation Base Penalty \$3,000 \$3		181 Number of violation days	ation Events 3	Number of Vic	4	***************************************
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960	\$3,000	Violation Base Penalty	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual			
Estimated EB Amount \$92 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,960		uarterly events are recommended.	Three qua			
		Statutory Limit Test	s violation	it (EB) for th	c Benefi	Econom
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,960	\$3,960	\$92 Violation Final Penalty Total	EB Amount	Estimated		sources Miles Miles
	\$3,960	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)				

Case ID No.	City of Hunting 32863						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102184355						***************************************
	Water Quality				1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1						Depreclation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
			7- 12		ر معالی	*.* (*)	
Delayed Costs Equipment		16 16		0.0	l \$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment		1		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				1 0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$ O
Land				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$2,000	28-Feb-2006	31-Jan-2007	0.0	\$0 \$92	n/a n/a	\$0 \$92
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	Z0-F6D-Z000	31-Jan-2007	U.3	D32	/ C	
Notes for DELAYED costs	The esti exceedances	imated cost for additi . Date required is the	ional oversight a date the nonco	nd samp mpliance	ling which could he started and the fi	ave reduced or alle nal date is the date	viated the
Notes for DELAYED costs	exceedances	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance	started and the fi	nal date is the date	viated the of compliance
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	exceedances	imated cost for additi , Date required is the IUALIZE [1] avoide	e date the nonco	mpliance	started and the fi	nal date is the date	viated the of compliance
Notes for DELAYED costs	exceedances	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance	started and the fi	nal date is the date	viated the of compliance costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	exceedances	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0	e started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0' \$0' \$0' \$0'	viated the of compliance.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	exceedances ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0''' \$0'' \$0'' \$0	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sequipment Financial Assurance [2]	exceedances ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nal date is the date one-time avoided \$0'' \$0'' \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	exceedances ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sequipment Financial Assurance [2]	exceedances ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nal date is the date one-time avoided \$0'' \$0'' \$0 \$0 \$0	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	exceedances ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection//Reporting/Sampling, Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	ANN	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling, Supplles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	exceedances ANN Residences	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	started and the filter (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection//Reporting/Sampling, Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	ANN	Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	e started and the filters (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance	exceedances ANN Representation of the second of the seco	, Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	e started and the filterm (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance	exceedances ANN Residences	Date required is the iUALIZE [1] avoided	e date the nonco	mpliance mpliance	e started and the filterm (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nal date is the date one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance	exceedances ANN Professional	Date required is the	e date the nonco	mpliance intering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	e started and the filtern (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	viated the of compliance costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Effluent Violation Table City of Huntington TPDES Permit No. WQ0010191001 (Outfall 001A) Docket No. 2007-0329-MWD-E

Permitted	***************************************	Monitoring Period End Date							
Effluent Limits	02/28/2006	03/31/2006	04/30/2006	07/31/2006	09/30/2006	10/31/2006			
NH ₃ -N Daily Avg. 3 mg/L	3.4	5.1	13.2	3.1	С	С			
NH ₃ -N Single Grab 15 mg/L	С	C	16.6	C	C	C			
NH ₃ -N Daily Avg. 8.8 lbs/dy	С	C	21.3	C	С	C			
TSS Daily Avg. 15 mg/L	С	С	С	18.8	19.3	20.3			

Avg. = average	C = compliant	mg/L = milligrams per liter
Lbs/dy = pounds per day	NH ₃ -N= ammonia nitrogen	TSS = Total Suspended Solids

Compliance History

Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 0.53 CN600738157 City of Huntington Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CITY OF HUNTINGTON Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 0.53 RN102184355 Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): WQ0010191001 PERMIT WASTEWATER TPDES0053422 PERMIT WASTEWATER PERMIT TX0053422 WASTEWATER SLUDGE REGISTRATION 21943 WQ0010191001 LICENSE WASTEWATER LICENSING Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: NO APPROXIMATELY ONE MILE SOUTHEAST OF THE Location: INTERSECTION OF U.S. HIGHWAY 69 AND FARM-TO-MARKET ROAD 1669 BETWEEN THE SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD AND SHAWNEE CREEK, IN ANGELINA COUNTY TCEQ Region: **REGION 10 - BEAUMONT** Date Compliance History Prepared: March 05, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement March 05, 2002 to March 05, 2007 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History (512) 239-5363 Name: Samuel Short Phone: **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. N/A Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B. C. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 1 03/18/2002 (184805)2 03/18/2002 (184823)3 05/14/2002 (184790)4 05/14/2002 (184793)(184797)5 05/14/2002 6 05/14/2002 (184801)(184803)7 07/05/2002 8 08/23/2002 (184806)9 09/23/2002 (184809)10 10/14/2002 (184812)11 11/21/2002 (184816)12 12/27/2002 (184820)13 01/17/2003 (20368)14 01/21/2003 (184824)15 02/26/2003 (184791)

16 03/27/2003

17 04/14/2003

18 05/21/2003

19 06/23/2003

20 07/25/2003

21 08/12/2003

22 09/09/2003

(184794)

(184798)

(306707)

(306708)

(306709)

(306710)

(248204)

```
24 10/20/2003
                            (306712)
        25 11/24/2003
                            (306713)
        26 12/29/2003
                            (306714)
        27 01/27/2004
                            (306715)
        28 02/20/2004
                            (306704)
        29 03/09/2004
                            (264432)
        30
           03/16/2004
                            (306705)
        31
           04/14/2004
                            (306706)
        32
           05/24/2004
                            (356595)
        33
           06/23/2004
                            (356596)
           07/23/2004
                            (356597)
        34
           09/09/2004
        35
                            (356598)
        36
           09/17/2004
                            (356599)
        37
           10/18/2004
                            (356600)
           11/16/2004
                            (384368)
        39
           12/27/2004
                            (384369)
        4∩
           01/25/2005
                            (384370)
        41
           02/21/2005
                            (384366)
        42
           03/21/2005
                            (384367)
        43
           04/16/2005
                            (422305)
           05/17/2005
                            (422306)
        44
           06/16/2005
                            (422307)
        45
        46
           07/21/2005
                            (443222)
        47
           08/26/2005
                            (443223)
           09/22/2005
                            (443224)
           10/27/2005
                            (472969)
        49
        50 11/15/2005
                            (472970)
        51
           12/21/2005
                            (472971)
        52
           01/19/2006
                            (472972)
        53
           02/21/2006
                            (472967)
        54
           03/23/2006
                            (472968)
        55
           04/20/2006
                            (501255)
        56
           05/22/2006
                            (501256)
        57
           06/20/2006
                            (501257)
           07/21/2006
                            (523492)
           08/28/2006
                            (523493)
           09/19/2006
                            (523494)
        60
        61 02/27/2007
                            (539720)
Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
         Date 03/31/2002
                                (184797)
         Self Report?
                                                                              Classification
                                                                                                Moderate
         Citation:
                             30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
                             TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
          Description:
                             Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
         Date 11/30/2002
                                (184820)
         Self Report?
                                                                              Classification
                        YES
                                                                                                Moderate
         Citation:
                             30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
                             TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
         Description:
                             Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
         Date 01/17/2003
                                (20368)
         Self Report?
                                                                              Classification
                                                                                                Minor
         Rqmt Prov:
                             OP 10191-001
                             Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and
         Description:
                             disposal are properly operated and maintained.
```

23 09/23/2003

E.

(306711)

Self Report? Classification Rqmt Prov: OP 10191-001 Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and Description: disposal are properly operated and maintained. Date 05/31/2004 (356596)Self Report? Classification Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description: Date 02/28/2005 (384367)Self Report? Classification Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Citation:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 03/31/2005 (422305)

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (472971)

Date 11/30/2005

Self Report? YES Classification Moderate

Moderate

Classification

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Date 02/28/2006

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (472968)

Self Report?

Classification Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 03/31/2006 (501255)

Self Report? YES Classification Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Date 04/30/2006 Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(501256)

Self Report?

Classification Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 07/31/2006 (523493)

Self Report?

Classification

Moderate

Citation:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 09/30/2006

Self Report? YES Classification

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Date 10/31/2006 Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Self Report?

Classification Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Η.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF HUNTINGTON	§	
RN102184355	· §	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0329-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding City of Huntington ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately one mile southeast of the intersection of U.S. Highway 69 and Farm-to-Market Road 1669 between the Southern Pacific Railroad and Shawnee Creek in Angelina County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 4, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,960) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Seven Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$792) is deferred contingent upon the

·			

City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168) shall be conditionally offset by the City's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the City replaced the original fixed aeration system with a retrievable system that provided increased capacity and improved maintenance on December 13, 2006 and returned to compliance with their permitted effluent limits on January 31, 2007.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010191001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1, as documented during a record review conducted on February 7, 2007, as indicated in the table below

Effluent Violation Table

City of Huntington
TPDES Permit No. WQ0010191001 (Outfall 001A)

Permitted	Monitoring Period End Date					
Effluent Limits	02/28/2006	03/31/2006	04/30/2006	07/31/2006	09/30/2006	10/31/2006
NH ₃ -N Daily Avg. 3 mg/L	3.4	5.1	13.2	3.1	С	С
NH ₃ -N Single Grab 15 mg/L	С	С	16.6	С	С	С
NH ₃ -N Daily Avg. 8.8 lbs/dy	С	С	21.3	С	С	С
TSS Daily Avg. 15 mg/L	С	С	С	18.8	19.3	20.3

Avg. = average	C = compliant	mg/L = milligrams per liter
Lbs/dy = pounds per day	NH ₃ -N= ammonia nitrogen	TSS = Total Suspended Solids

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Huntington, Docket No. 2007-0329-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. The City shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the City implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The City's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of

the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement

- 4. If the City failed to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in the Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	7/30/07 Date
attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity,	ne attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the representation.
failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may r	
• A negative impact on my compliance h	• •
 Greater scrutiny of any permit applicati Referral of this case to the Attorney Compensations, and/or attorney fees, or to a compensation. 	General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional
• Increased penalties in any future enforce	
 Automatic referral to the Attorney Gen 	eral's Office of any future enforcement actions against me
and	
 TCEQ seeking other relief as authorize 	
In addition, any falsification of any compliance	documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of City of Huntington

Date

Deputy administrator

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Gade Caster

SACK CARTER

4-30.07

Deputy Administrator

Attachment A Docket Number: 2007-0329-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent: City of Huntington

Payable Penalty Amount: Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168)

SEP Amount: Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$3,168)

Type of SEP: Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient: Angelina Beautiful Clean

Location of SEP: Angelina County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to collect and properly dispose of illegally dumped waste. Eligible sites will be limited to areas where a responsible party cannot be identified and where there is no pre-existing obligation to clean up the site by the owner.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing for the proper disposal of waste which will help prevent human health concerns associated with illegally dumped waste.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Valena Spradley Chairman of the Board Angelina Beautiful Clean 1615 South Chestnut Lufkin, Texas 75901

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Financial Administration Division, Revenues Attention: Cashier, MC 214 P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

City of Huntington Agreed Order – Attachment A

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

and the second of the second o